

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/767,652	01/29/2004	Geoffrey William Davey	65961-0125	5387	
10291	7590 01/24/2005		EXAMINER		
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			PATEL, KIRAN B		
39533 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 140			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
* · · *	BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610			3612	
				DATE MAIL ED: 01/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u></u>			
1		Application No	Applicant(s)	·			
aX		10/767,652	DAVEY ET AL	••			
R.	Office Action Summary	Examiner`	Art Unit				
		Kiran B. Patel	3612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspondence	address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI insions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum ure to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how nmunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory mi statutory period will apply and will expire ly will, by statute, cause the application	ever, may a reply be timely filed  nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered to SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	his communication.			
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>29 <i>January</i> 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from conside					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e: a)□ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obj	<u> </u>	•	•			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected	•	• • • •	• •			
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)		y documents have been rec y documents have been rec s of the priority documents h ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application No ave been received in this Natio 2(a)).				
Attachmer	• •	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04.		Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	(PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/767,652 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

Detailed Action

**Election and Restriction** 

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under

35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a trim/headliner, classified in Class 296,

Subclass 214.

II. Claims 14-17, drawn to a method, classified in Class 264.

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case molding can be used to make

bottles.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,652 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. This application, as best understood, contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A - directed towards Fig. 1A

Species B - directed towards Fig. 1B

Species C - directed towards Fig. 1C

Species D - directed towards Fig. 1D

Species E - directed towards Fig. 1F

Species F - directed towards Fig. 1G.

4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there appears to be no claim, which is generic to all species.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,652 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

- 5. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 6. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP [] 809.02(a).
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3612

- 8. A telephone call was made for the Attorney/Agent responsible for this application to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examiners even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Application/Control Number: 10/767,652

Art Unit: 3612

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-305-0254. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Kiran B. Patel, P. E. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612 January 18, 2005 Page 6